

P J Maroga, P O Box 140, Kyalami Estates 1684 email: phirwa.maroga@gmail.com

31 October 2018

Dr K. De Wee

Secretary

Judicial Commission of Inquiry into Allegations of State Capture, Corruption and Fraud in
the Public Sector including Organs of State

17 Empire Road

Parktown

Johannesburg

2193

RE: NOTICE IN TERMS OF RULE 3.3: Statement of Ms Barbara Hogan


1. I refer to the 3.3 Notice issued to me on 18 October 2018
2. I take note of the 30 October 2018 letter of withdrawal of a summons issued to me 23 October 2018.
3. I take note that the Commission has taken a view that the paragraphs 63 and 83 of Ms Barbara Hogan statement do not implicate me at this stage.
4. I have also taken a view that Ms Barbara Hogan's statement does not implicate me at this stage.
5. I have thus taken a view that I will not approach the Chairperson in terms of Rule 3.10
6. However, I have noticed various inaccuracies and inconsistencies in Ms Barbara Hogan's statements.
7. I wish to point only to the material contradiction in paragraph 83 of Ms Hogan's statement, wherein she indicates that *'I requested Yunis Shaik (at the time the labour advisor to DPE)... to meet with Mr Maroga to negotiate his terms of departure.'*
8. The statement by Ms Hogan contradicts her affidavit to the South Gauteng High Court in 2010 wherein she claimed that Mr Yunis Shaik was appointed by President Zuma and not by herself. Refer to attached article of 27 February 2010-Shaik *"threatened Maroga in talks"*

Yours faithfully



Jacob Maroga

31/10/2018



Jacob Maroga <phirwa.maroga@gmail.com>

Shaik 'threatened' Maroga in talks | IOL News

1 message

Jacob Maroga <phirwa.maroga@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 26, 2018 at 5:45 AM

To: phirwa.maroga@gmail.com

<https://www.iol.co.za/news/south-africa/shaik-threatened-maroga-in-talks-474727>

Shaik 'threatened' Maroga in talks

[South Africa](#) / 27 February 2010, 08:28am /

By Thabiso Thakali

There is some confusion over who paid for fraudster Schabir Shaik's attorney brother, Yunus, to mediate in the Eskom debacle which saw its axed CEO Jacob Maroga file a R80 million claim from the parastatal.

Eskom went to court this week to gag Maroga from revealing that Yunus Shaik apparently tried to force Maroga into accepting a settlement and leaving the parastatal at the time of his battles with the board.

Maroga has claimed Shaik purported to be President Jacob Zuma's emissary.

In papers filed with the Johannesburg High Court this week, Maroga claimed Shaik had become "abusive" when he (Maroga) refused to accept a settlement.

"That was greeted with the most abusive language from Mr Shaik, who said something to the following effect: 'Who the f*** do you think you are that you can hold the entire country to ransom?'"

Shaik claims he was representing the Department of Public Enterprises. When quizzed yesterday about his alleged threats to Maroga, Shaik would only say: "All the matters that featured during the mediation process are confidential and I am not at liberty to discuss them in the media."

His answers contradict Public Enterprises Minister Barbara Hogan's affidavit to the court in which she claimed the Presidency had appointed Shaik as mediator.

DA chief whip Ian Davidson said his party would demand answers in Parliament about Shaik's contract as mediator.

"We intend to raise questions on his suitability to do the job and what expertise, particularly in labour law, he may have had in the matter, because clearly he failed," Davidson said. "His (Shaik's) appointment to mediate in the dispute sounds all too familiar to giving government contracts to somebody who happens to be a friend of someone somewhere in government."

Sent from my iPhone